

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,579	06/12/2006	Robert Albertus Brondijk	NL031467	1765	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 05/07/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		CHOW, VAN NGUYEN			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,579	BRONDIJK, ROBERT ALBERTUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VAN N. CHOW	2627		

	VAN N. CHOW	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late it has three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling th						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
<del>-</del> -							
/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that in Kayanuma all areas, i.e., memory areas at physical addresses 0.11 and 12.23 included defect management, which is incorrect. In Fig. 10 of Kayanuma discloses 2 defects managements areas physical addresses "3" and "5", which are in the second storage area (see col. 9, lines 27-58); and the first storage area of physical addresses "12" - "19" which does not contain defect management areas.